

١

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/048,152		01/24/2002	Werner Marzluf	39129-177369	1325	
26694	7590	06/06/2003				
VENABLE P.O. BOX 3		ER, HOWARD A	ND CIVILETTI, LLP	EXAMINER		
		20043-9998		UPTON, CHRISTOPHER		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1724		
				DATE MAILED: 06/06/2003	\supset	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		W

4	Application No.	Applicant(s) MAYZLAF				
Office Action Summary	Examiner Uston	Group Art Unit				
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet be	neath the correspondence ad	dress-			
Period for Reply	フ					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	_ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAII	LING DATE			
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ly within the statutory minir expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to	num of thirty (30) days will be consident the mailing date of this communication become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 6	ered timely. ition. 133).			
Status						
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			·			
☐ This action is FINAL.		•				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935. 	or formal matters, pros C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	ecution as to the merits is clo	osed in			
Disposition of Claims						
Claim(s) 37-72		is/are pending in the appli	cation.			
Of the above claim(s)						
□ Claim(s)	·	is/are allowed.				
□ Claim(s) 37-72 ✓ Claim(s) 37-72		is/are rejected.				
□ Claim(s)						
□ Claim(s)			relection			
Application Papers		requirement				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		disapproved.				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examiner					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)						
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-	(d).				
All □ Some* □ None of the:						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received						
in this national stage application from the International B *Certified copies not received:	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))				
Attachment(s)	1.		- •			
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	4	erview Summary, PTO-413				
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		<u>-</u> .				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	_	tice of Informal Patent Applicati				
Houce of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ Ott	ner				
Office Action Summary						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit 1724

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 5, there are references to claims by number. Also, the section headings are missing.

Appropriate correction is required.

2. Claims 37-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims contain vague and indefinite language, such as "in particular," "preferably" and "e.g." Proper antecedent basis for elements such as "the pivotal axes" in claim 37 should be established.

3. Claims 37-72 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112 set forth in this Office action.

The recitation of a sieving device for a sluice channel having a number of sieving panels sequentially arranged adjacent to one another substantially transverse to the direction of flow forming an endless driven belt, wherein the revolving motion is substantially within one single plane and the pivotal axes of the panels are perpendicular to the sieving surface patentably distinguishes over the prior art of record.

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit 1724

References of interest include the transverse flow endless screens of Pindar, Wiesemann and Heinen, the pivotal screen panels of Keti, and the rotating screens of Fontenot and Raisch.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Christopher Upton at telephone number (703) 308-3741.

CHRISTOPHER UPTON PRIMARY EXAMINER